

2001-2002 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHAIR CHARLES PHELPS TAFT MEMORIAL FUND

The Taft Fund continues to be one of the most important and reliable sources of support for scholarly activity in the humanities and social sciences in the McMicken College of Arts & Sciences. As the University and the College continue to struggle with funding, the Taft Fund has supplied the Taft departments, faculty and students with a wide array of programs to support their scholarly efforts. This report details the activities of the Taft Fund for the previous year, and looks ahead to some of the issues that the Fund will address in the coming year.

I. Administration and Governance

After several years of changes and reorganizations, the Taft office is now stable and operating smoothly. Joy Dunn has continued to do excellent work managing the Taft office and its interactions with the department offices, the college office and other agencies on campus. The normal operations of the Fund have operated effectively and efficiently this year.

The Faculty Executive Board met six times during the year. There were no major changes in the Fund's programs this year, so the Board's activities this year were focused on three areas:

- Normal oversight of the Faculty Awards programs and the Departmental Enhancement Awards. These are discussed in the Committee reports.
- Refinements of the policies and procedures for the new programs that have been created in the last few years. These are discussed in the Committee reports.
- Consideration of the appropriate usage of the funds accumulated in the Chair's Contingency Fund. This is discussed in Section V.

Last year, an on-line reporting system was created for the Graduate Enhancement Awards, and for reporting on the Graduate Fellowships. There are still technical difficulties involving the location of the file server to support the system. The current arrangement is an *ad hoc* one, provided by Professor Wlodek Bryc in the Department of Mathematical Sciences. Both the successes and shortcomings of this year's experiment indicate that a long-term arrangement for the hosting and maintenance of the Taft web services will need to be found. We are also developing another experimental system for online submission of Faculty Awards applications. A successful online system will make the application process easier for both applicants and the committee reviewing proposals. If this experiment is successful, we will expand the online application process to other Taft programs. Dr. Bryc was again employed to develop this system, and again received \$1,000 in travel funds for doing so.

I. Student Programs (36% of the Fund)

A. Student Awards Committee (36% of the Fund)

The Committee membership for the 2001-02 academic year included H. Scott Dumas

(Mathematics), Katarina Gerstenberger (German), Alan Sullivan (Anthropology), Bill Whitmore (Economics), and Russel Durst (English), Chair. The responsibilities of the Committee involve awarding the Taft Advanced Graduate Fellowships to fourteen advanced doctoral students nominated from doctorate-granting Taft departments, and making awards for the Taft Graduate Student Travel Grant, Taft Graduate Enrichment Award, Taft Graduate Enhancement Award, and the Taft Undergraduate Enrichment Award. The annual budget for the Committee totals \$368,000.

Graduate Fellowships

The Committee met in early March to evaluate the 18 departmental nominees for Advanced Fellowships. The committee awarded 14 fellowships. Eight Departmental awards carry with them a summer stipend of \$3,000 in addition to the academic year stipend of \$12,000. Six Competitive awards were spread across the departments of English, German, History, Philosophy, and Political Science. One Departmental Fellow and one Competitive Fellowship winner ended up receiving national research awards and therefore declining the Taft offer. The Departmental Fellowship therefore went to an alternate, who was the next eligible candidate from the Department. The Competitive Fellowship went to the highest candidate from the pool of alternates. Overall quality of the awardees was very high, with many winners having refereed publications, presentations at national conferences, strong grade point averages, and excellent faculty recommendations.

Graduate Travel Awards

Our budget for Graduate Student Travel Grants was \$20,000 for the academic year, with individual awards capped at \$500 per student for the year. The Committee met once a quarter to evaluate applications. For the year, the committee awarded a total of \$13,059, almost twice as much as in the previous academic year, with money going to students from all ten Taft departments. All student awardees either presented a paper or served as a discussant at a conference in their field. The committee is pleased that more students are taking advantage of this fund, which helps to professionalize our graduate students as well as increasing the visibility of the University of Cincinnati in academic circles. It will be interesting to see if student use of these travel funds continues to increase.

Graduate Enrichment Awards

The budget available for Graduate Student Enrichment Awards was also \$20,000. These awards are capped at \$2,500 per student over a 24-month period. This was only the second year for the Enrichment Awards, and in the first year six awards totaling \$11,398.86 were given. For the current academic year, the Committee allocated seven awards for \$9,931, slightly less than the previous year and almost exactly half our total budget for this category. Awardees came from Economics, History, Sociology, and Political Science.

The committee turned down several applicants because the quality of the research proposal was weak. Yet the primary problem appeared to be not a lack of proposal writing skills, but rather that the student did not yet seem to have a clear idea what he or she was going to do at the

archive or research location. In these cases, applicants were still at an early point in their research, and the Committee strongly encouraged the students to apply for funding when they had a better sense of what their projects would entail. For example, one student wished to visit archives in four major European cities over a four-week period for a study of an international funding agency. Yet she was not clear about specifically what she would look for or planned to do at the archives. For the coming year, we will attempt to publicize this award more broadly through the departmental graduate directors, and to have the directors encourage students to apply only when their project is at a point where they know what they are studying and what they need funding for.

Graduate Enhancement Fellowships

The Graduate Enhancement Fellowships were established this academic year. Each Taft department was given a sum of money based on the size of its graduate program and was permitted to use the money either to provide enhancements to existing graduate assistant stipends, to fund new GA positions, or to do a combination of both approaches. A key motivation for establishing this new program is the inadequacy of existing graduate stipends in attracting the best students to graduate programs in Taft departments. All departments except Romance Languages and Literatures made use of the funding. The committee is in the process of surveying the departments to find out how effective this new program has been, and what potential changes the departments would like us to make in the program. From \$120,000 budgeted, a total of \$93,753 was distributed to nine departments. RLL is planning to make use of its allotment for the coming year.

Undergraduate Enrichment Awards

The Undergraduate Enrichment Awards budget was \$16,000 for the year, with awards capped at \$2,000 each. The Committee found that student demand for this award was very strong. The committee allocated the entire budget for the year but were still not able to accommodate all the deserving applicants. Therefore, the committee used an additional \$4,600 that was left in our other funds. Thus, the Committee recommends increasing the amount allocated for these awards in future years. Almost all of the awards were for language study or travel abroad programs, with one award for an individual research project and one for conference attendance. The Committee views all such activities as very valuable for undergraduate students, and the committee wishes to support this program as much as possible.

Future Plans

The Committee needs to increase the visibility of our programs, particularly Graduate Travel and Graduate Enrichment, two important areas of support that are currently under-utilized. The committee plans to meet with graduate directors of all ten Taft Departments at the beginning of the coming academic year in order to discuss available support and address directors' questions and concerns. Improving communication with the Taft Departments should have other benefits as well, such as helping to clarify the rather arcane requirements of the Taft Graduate Fellowship Awards and to discuss strategies for best utilizing the Taft Graduate Enhancement Awards. In addition, the committee recommends an increase the budget for the well-utilized Undergraduate

Enrichment Awards.

II. Faculty Awards (25% of the Fund)

A. Faculty Awards Committee (24% of the Fund)

The Faculty Awards Committee consisted of Maura O'Connor (History, chair); Paula Dubeck (Sociology); Victor Kaftal (Mathematics), Robert Richardson (Philosophy); Nicolas Williams (Economics). A complete listing of the awards made by the Committee can be found in Appendix D.

Competitive Faculty Fellowships

These fellowships allow a faculty member to be released from teaching for one quarter in order to pursue a specific research project. It is expected that applicants will have obtained at least one additional quarter of release time (generally in the form of academic or professional leave). This year's competition was not competitive in contrast to previous years, since only five applications were submitted and the committee awarded five fellowships. The majority agreed that these were overall strong applications; several were indeed outstanding. The committee also awarded four of the five with the research supplements that they requested. Fellowship was awarded to the following: Don Bogen (English), Steven Bowman (Judaic Studies), Magda Peligrad (Mathematics), Hilda Smith (History), and Sourushe Zandvakili (Economics).

Summer Research Fellowships

The committee awarded twelve Research Fellowships of \$5500. Sixteen faculty applied for these fellowships, significantly fewer than last year. All but one of these fellowships were given to junior faculty; in fact, there were at least nine applications from junior faculty who were applying for the first time. Because two junior faculty declined this award in lieu of a Summer Faculty Research Fellowship from URC, the committee was able to award fellowships to two junior faculty on the alternate list. In the end, eleven awards were made to junior faculty and one to an associate professor. The committee was very concerned that only two tenured faculty members even applied for the Research Fellowships in this year's competition. The committee has, therefore, proposed changes in the way in which the Committee would award Fellowships in the future as well as changes in the criteria for selection. This proposal will be submitted to the Taft Faculty Board for discussion at the first Board meeting in the 2002-2003 academic year. The proposal is included in the last section of this report.

Travel for Research Grants

Travel for Research Grants are grants made to cover expenses for faculty who need to travel in order to conduct their research. These awards are limited to \$3500 in any 24-month period. This year the committee awarded thirteen grants; at least ten of these involved travel outside the United States. In comparison to the previous two academic years, the committee awarded double the number of research grants for travel this year; in 1999-2000, the committee awarded 7 and in 2000-2001, the committee awarded six.

International Conference Travel Awards

Taft provides support for faculty members who are invited to make presentations at international academic meetings. These grants are particularly valuable to our faculty. The grants enable faculty to publicize their research around the world and garner important and useful contacts with international colleagues in their fields. The committee was able to fund the majority of requests, making 30 awards at an average of \$1813 per request.

Domestic Travel Awards

The Taft Fund continued its program to help defray travel expenses for faculty members invited to make presentations at academic meetings within the United States. Currently such funds are limited to the costs of travel only (generally airline tickets or driving expenses), with the assumption that departments will help out whenever possible with additional accommodation expenses. This program has been successful and popular. The committee received many applications and the majority of these were funded. In all, there were 37 awards at an average of \$324. per request.

Issues

Several issues arose in response to our reading proposals and requests for travel awards, Competitive Fellowships, and Research Grants during winter quarter. One issue had to do with the length of the project description for the Competitive Fellowship, Travel for Research Grants and Summer Research Grants. The other had to do with the necessity of including copies of all Taft reports with all applications. The committee agreed that for travel to domestic and international conferences, it was not necessary to have to submit copies of Taft reports with the application; but copies of reports were useful for applications for research grants and the Competitive Fellowship. The committee submitted proposals to the Taft Faculty Board at its meeting in April for discussion and then at the next meeting in May, the Faculty Board voted affirmatively on these proposals. We need to make sure that these changes are reflected in the updated application materials on the Taft Website. The proposals are included below:

Approved Changes

Final Reports

For faculty applying to give a paper at a domestic or international conference, we do not need to see previous Taft reports for conference travel. We still would like to see reports about other awards faculty have been given for research travel, summer research fellowships and for competitive fellowships in the span of 5 years.

Revised Project Descriptions

For applications for domestic or international conferences, the length of the project description should be in the range of 150-200 words. For travel for research grants, summer research fellowships, and competitive faculty fellowships, the length of the project description should be in the range of 800-1200 words.

Another issue that raised in committee at the end of the academic year, but not acted on as yet, concerns the possibility of being reimbursed for conference registration fees as part of the travel expenses along with airfares or driving expenses, not exceeding the limit of \$500. which has already been set, when one presents a paper at a domestic conference. (This issue was first raised by a Board member who asked me to raise it with my committee). This issue will be discussed by the Faculty Awards Committee in early fall quarter of 2002.

The central issue that the committee debated this year had to do with the Research Fellowships. Over several years, committee members noted that fewer and fewer tenured faculty were applying and that there was both an assumption and a common practice of automatically giving first time junior faculty members a grant despite the merits of the proposal or the quality of the research project being investigated. The committee gave much thought to these sensitive and perhaps controversial issues and devised a proposal (see below) that will be submitted to the Taft Faculty Board for their discussion at the Board's first meeting in the upcoming academic year.

PROPOSAL FROM FACULTY AWARDS COMMITTEE FOR DISCUSSION AT THE FIRST TAFT FACULTY BOARD MEETING OF THE 2002-2003 ACADEMIC YEAR:

PROPOSAL RATIONALE:

In order to increase the overall competitiveness and quality of applications for the Taft research grants and to encourage the largest applicant pool, the Faculty Awards Committee would like the Board to consider the proposal below. The Committee has worked over the years on the presumption that the first time a junior faculty member applies for an award, s/he receives it even if the grant application is not strong or competitive. The Committee thinks that this is not a good practice and also does a disservice to junior faculty in general. It is not in the rules or procedures that the Research Fellowships should be awarded in this way, but this has become a standard practice.

PROPOSAL:

The Committee will consider awards in two pools. The first will be only for untenured junior faculty in tenure-track positions who have never been awarded and/or used a Taft Research Grant, hereby denoted the *set-aside pool*. The second is for all Taft full-time faculty, regardless of rank, hereby denoted the *open pool*.

The *set-aside pool* will provide up to 25% of available funds. In all cases, if an eligible faculty member does not receive an award from the *set-aside pool*, the faculty member will still be

considered for an award from the *open pool*.

The *open pool* will include at least 75% of the available funds. Tenure-track faculty at any rank are encouraged to apply.

NEW CRITERIA FOR SELECTION AND PRIORITIES:

- 1) The quality of the specific research project is given the highest priority.
- 2) Evidence that the applicant will successfully complete the project in the time frame proposed along with the applicant's scholarly promise and achievements to date.
- 3) Evidence of the applicant's willingness to seek non-Taft funding and/or travel expenses for this research project.

B. Publications (1% of the Fund)

In the academic year 2001-2002 the Taft Board voted additional uses for this fund. While subventions for publication remain the first priority, the rewritten guidelines also permitted faculty to apply (up to a maximum of \$1000) for costs associated with the publication process including purchase of copyrights, photographs, and indexing. This new use proved quite popular given that it was implemented in mid-year. For that reason, the Publications Committee suggests that the budget be increased next year to \$10-15,000 to handle potential additional demand. Furthermore, given that indexing usually runs around \$1500, another suggestion would be to ask the Taft Board to increase the maximum for publication costs to \$1500.

The changes in the Publications Awards were made available to the faculty mid-year, and were enthusiastically received. New programs frequently take a while before the faculty are fully aware of their availability, so there is often a lag before the new funding program is fully utilized. In this case, even with only half a year of funding under the expanded rules, the Report of sums distributed this year.

Subvention:

Anthropology---Vernon Scarborough, Hierarchy, *Political Economy and the Ancient Maya: The Three Rivers Region of the East-Central Yucatan Peninsula* (University of Arizona Press).
Subvention: \$4000

Publication Costs:

Anthropology---Vernon Scarborough, Hierarchy, *Political Economy and the Ancient Maya: The Three Rivers Region of the East-Central Yucatan Peninsula*. (University of Arizona Press) Indexing \$1000

Economics—Zandvalili and Williams. “Economic Impacts of Sales Taxes on Temporary Employment Services.” *International Business and Economics Research Journal*. Page fees, \$325.

History—James Murray. *Bruges, Cradle of Capitalism, 1280-1390* (Oxford University Press), Photographic Costs, \$1000

Political Science—Laura Dudley Jenkins. *Identity and Identification in India: Defining the Disadvantaged* (Routledge Press). Indexing. \$1000

Pending application:

Philosophy—John Bickle, *Philosophy and Neuroscience: A Ruthlessly Reductive Account* (Kluwer Academic Publishers), Illustrations. \$1000

The faculty have enthusiastically welcomed the expansion of the fund for additional publication costs. This fund continues to play an important role in the scholarly careers of some of the faculty in Taft departments. Without it, it is difficult to say where such funds would come from.

IV. Departmental and Interdisciplinary Programs (37% of the Fund)

A. Postdoctoral Fellowships Committee (4% of the Fund)

As part of the reconfiguration of the Taft Fund (discussed in Section V), the Taft Faculty Executive Board decided to phase out the Postdoctoral Program. Consequently, only one Fellowship was awarded for the year 2001-02. The Committee received 15 files of finalists for 2000-2001 from nine Taft Departments. The Committee found many excellent candidates among these, and selected as its top candidate:

Weijiu Liu (Mathematical Sciences)

Ph.D. May 1998, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, Australia
Research Project: *Control Theory in Partial Differential Equations*

Dr. Liu accepted the offer and came from Dalhousie University in Halifax, Nova Scotia to join the Department of Mathematical Sciences. He worked with Professor Bingyu Zhang. However, after two quarters, Dr. Liu received a postdoctoral fellowship offer from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. He resigned his Taft Fellowship and left the University of Cincinnati in order to accept the position at MIT.

Dr. Liu's departure represented the closure of the Postdoctoral program. No awards for the

2002-03 academic year were made. All future opportunities for visitors will be handled through the Research Support program.

B. Conferences and Lectures Committee (7% of the Fund)

The committee consisted of Marcia Bellas (Sociology), Jintai Ding (Mathematics), Laura Jenkins (Political Science) and Chris Gauker (Philosophy) and was chaired by Jon Kamholtz (English). This was our second year working with the new Taft Competitive Lectures, and much of our energy went to continuing to refine and clarify the committee's mission. Last year, the committee struggled with the document that brought the new grants into being, looking for clues that would help them divine the criteria that would enable them to decide between proposals. This year, the committee entered the process with a clearer sense of what the by-laws meant and implied, and a clearer sense of the sorts of judgments and priorities that they could bring to their decisions.

The committee believes that one priority of the current Taft Executive Committee is that Taft programs can afford to broaden the clientele being exposed to some central contemporary academic concerns. This would help meet educational goals and garner some publicity for Taft and U.C. both within and beyond the academy. In this regard, the committee was glad to be able to fund two speakers from the English Department's series on Racism, which reached a large and varied audience. (The committee was also impressed with the outreach plans of Romance Languages and Literatures conference, as with the ambitious international scholarly aims of the proposed conference in Mathematics; more on these later.) The committee was also impressed that more Departments were thinking about a slightly wider range of types of activities that could be considered for funding as a "Lecture." Though there continued to be a solid core of talks designed for research specialists within particular Departments, there was also the appearance of Anna Rosmus, the subject of the movie *Nasty Girl* for Germanic Languages and Literatures, talking about her activism in Holocaust awareness in Germany. History has also engaged in some exemplary work in collaboration with other colleges.

In making our funding decisions, the committee gave preference activities that seemed to fit in clearly with a Department's vision of its research emphases and pedagogical goals. Traditionally, Taft lectures have been designed to bring speakers in to complement individual faculty member's ongoing research interests. The committee took note that this could be expanded without diluting scholarly focus or intention, as when Sociology brought in speakers to talk about family issues, which several faculty members share interests in, or when History brought in a speaker whose talk could be used as part of a capstone to a new, required undergraduate research methods course.

The committee noted that it would be wise of Taft Departments to make sure that the cover letters made it clearer just how the proposed lecture fit in with the Department's vision of its goals. When there was more coherence between a proposed speaker and the Department's sense of its particular academic identity, the committee tended to count that as a factor in a proposal's favor. It follows, therefore, that some proposals were hindered if their only support came from rather *pro forma* letters. Additionally, the familiar problem of cross-disciplinary communication

arose more than once this year. All parties must make sure they are able to communicate the potential significance of their proposals effectively to those outside their disciplines.

Three other issues are worth brief mention:

- The committee preferred proposals with clear and detailed budget statements;
- The committee was occasionally irritated by proposals that obfuscated, rather than illuminated the speaker's qualities and potential contributions (*Example*: Not to be outdone by the Department that sent along a 32 page vita, one Department sent a 44 page single-spaced vita, which stretched from the speaker's high school graduation at one end to grants not due to expire until 2004 at the other);
- Sometimes the price differentials between disciplines were striking; it seems a better deal to be in English or Mathematics than in Philosophy.

As far as Conferences were concerned, our main frustration was in not getting more applications. It is hard to say why these have dried up over the past few years. Are people too busy? Too isolated? Feeling too specialized? Is there inadequate administrative support? In any case, Conferences seem like an underutilized resource at this time.

The applications the committee did receive were of very high quality, both serious and resourceful in their approaches to what a conference might do. The committee was struck by the differing models of what a conference goals and audiences, and even how they might accomplish them. The Philosophy Department proposed and was funded for a straightforward series of sessions about animal intelligence, an issue of broad and intense interest these days. Romance Languages requested to use the Taft portion of its finances to help build a budget that would enable their annual conference (no longer supported by Taft *per se*) to add a component to attract area teachers of language. Mathematics proposed a conference at the other end of an extreme, where a small group of highly specialized researchers from all over the world might meet in Cincinnati.

Our only caveat is that those who request funds must bear in mind how the great differences between disciplines and specialties affect how a conference achieves its goals. Romance Languages envisioned area teachers attending the scholarly portions of the conference as a whole as part of the audience, but having separate breakout sessions of their own; Mathematics envisions a conference where all those attending are likely to expect to be paid to be presenters.

C. Research Support (15% of the Fund)

The Research Support committee had the following members: Richard Harknett (Political Science, till March), Annulla Linders (Sociology), Thomas Moore (Political Science, since March), Armando Romero (Romance Languages & Literature), Lucille Schultz (English), and Bingyu Zhang (Mathematics). The committee was chaired by Richard Harknett, September-February, and Annulla Linders, March-June. One of the members, Armando Romero, did not participate in any of the committee's meetings.

The committee met 5 times during the year (Oct, Jan, Feb, March, April), and reviewed a total of

17 proposals (7 cost-sharing and 11 special requests) submitted by faculty in 7 different Taft departments [Anthropology (1), Germanic Languages (1), History (5), Mathematics (5), Philosophy (2), Political Science (1), and Sociology (3)].

As a new committee working with untried guidelines, the committee encountered several issues in the course of reviewing proposals that prompted lots of discussion and resulted in some clarifications and elaborations of the guidelines. Some of that discussion is captured below. One such change was unrelated to a particular proposal and instead involved a simple clarification of the Fund's use for faculty development; specifically, matching funds cannot be used to supplement activities funded through the UC-AAUP Faculty Development Fund.

Cost-Sharing Awards

The committee received several different types of cost-sharing proposals during the year, and thus had an opportunity to put the guidelines and criteria "to the test." Five of the cost-sharing proposals were linked to the proposal-writing workshop offered by the Office of Research and Advanced Studies; these awards (\$5,000) require that the departments of the applicants release the applicants from teaching or in other ways facilitate the proposal writing process (e.g. by distributing the money over the summer). In the case of one of the proposals no decision could be reached since the application was not accompanied by a letter from the department explaining how the award would be used. This case was a bit unusual in that the applicant occupied a 12-month joint (Anthropology and East campus) research position (which prevents the funding of "release time" in the summer) and hence already had a reduced teaching load. The discussion in the committee made it clear, however, that the applicant's position was not a factor in the decision.

Of the other two cost-sharing proposals, one (from Mathematics) was asking for \$10,000 as part of a cost-sharing contribution to meet an NSF funding cap of \$100,000; the applicant was going to request the additional \$12,500 required in cost-sharing from the Dean's and Provost's offices. At the time of writing this report, we know that the applicant, Donald French, was in fact awarded the NSF grant. The final cost-sharing proposal (from History) involved a \$10,000 salary replacement award while the applicant held a prestigious (but low-paying) fellowship at Princeton University during the academic year 2002-2003. This proposal, which was funded, raised some questions about the guidelines regarding salary replacements (the issue was prompted by the possibility that the applicant might end up with a slightly higher salary for the year should she end up teaching a class while at Princeton). Specifically, the committee determined that the intention of the program is to compensate for salary loss, not to provide an opportunity for salary gain. To that end, the committee presented a proposal of clarified guidelines to the Taft Board (final reading to take place in the fall of 2002).

Research Support

This Fund is an amalgam of past, transformed, and new programs, aimed at underwriting

expenses associated with faculty research through (1) department allocations (fixed \$5,000 per department) and (2) special requests for research or library expenses. As a summary evaluation, the Fund had a very successful first year, with a wide range of proposals that for the most part fit nicely within the mandate of the Fund.

Of the eleven proposals for special requests four involved library purchases (three from History, one from Germanic Languages). Given that the Fund, during the last several years, had allocated money directly to the library for purchases deemed significant for Taft departments and faculty, the reorganization of the Research Support Fund represented a significant change that was not altogether welcome to the library. The committee discussed briefly the possibility of inviting a library representative to serve (or observe) on the committee, but in the end decided that the Fund's mandate would be best served by a committee of Taft faculty. Moreover, given that all requests for library purchases must be accompanied by support letters from library personnel, the committee concluded that the current procedures are sufficient to ensure collaborations between the Taft departments and the library. Judging from the proposals the committee received and funded, the new arrangement works just fine. The committee had a brief discussion about the impact of the prospect of digitalization of important collections on funding decisions involving expensive "paper" collections, but made no decisions--the issue was prompted by two different library proposals in the same round, one involving a digitalized version of a major collection, and the other a collection on microfiche. The committee did, however, ask the applicant of the microfiche collection if he had heard anything about a future digital version (neither he nor the library expert had).

Of the seven proposals for research expenses, four (all from Mathematics) involved bringing a visiting scholar/collaborator to UC, one involved a subscription to an online newspaper archive (Sociology), and one involved funds to print color figures for an article accepted for publication (Philosophy). One proposal (from Political Science) was denied funding on the basis that the proposal did not specify how the project would contribute to faculty research. Two of the "visiting scholar" proposals prompted discussions in the committee that eventually led to a few proposed changes to the Procedures and Guidelines. First, the Committee proposed that the description and rationale for proposed expenses be accompanied by an itemized budget. Second, the Committee proposed that requests involving visiting scholars should include a short CV of the visitor (in English). Finally, the Committee determined that the Fund's mandate under this program precludes paying visiting scholars a stipend (prompted by a proposal requesting a \$20,000 stipend for a visitor); instead, the Fund can be used to pay visitors up to \$1,000 per week, in addition to airfare, to meet expenses. The proposal that gave rise to this change is still pending; once it was clear that the Fund does not cover visitor stipends, the Committee offered the applicant the option of \$1,000 per week to cover the visitor's expenses. At the time of writing this report, the Committee has not yet heard from the applicant (Ken Meyer).

Summary and Status of Changes to Procedures and Guidelines

Cost-Sharing

(1) Under terms of grant change 3rd bullet point to read:

“Matching funds are intended for grants involving research, scholarship or pedagogical development. Matching funds will not be awarded to supplement activities funded through the UC-AAUP Faculty Development Fund (Art. M.6).

This replaces: “Matching funds are intended for grants involving research, scholarship or pedagogical development. Matching funds will not be awarded for activities which primarily involve faculty development.”

This proposed change had its first reading before the Board on February 4th, a second reading on March 4th, and was finally **approved** on April 1.

(2) Under the general description of matching funds, the Committee recommended the addition of the *italicized* section below:

Matching funds for external grant proposals. The Taft Fund will provide up to \$10,000 in matching funds on an external grant. The amount provided by the Taft Fund may not exceed the amount awarded by external sources, *and may not bring the applicant's total income (grant plus matching funds) during the grant period to a level that exceeds the applicant's regular salary.* Taft funds may be used for any valid University expense: release time/salary, travel, students, materials & supplies, etc.

This proposed change had its first reading before the Board on May 6th, and will require some clarifications (the Board members accepted the spirit of the proposal but were concerned about potential misunderstandings) before the second reading at the first Board meeting in the Fall of 2002.

Special Requests:

(3) Under Application Materials, complete application, item no. 2 to read:

“2. A detailed description and rationale of the proposed expenses, including an itemized budget.”

This replaces “2. A detailed description and rationale of the proposed expenses.”

(4) Under Application Materials, complete application, item no. 3, **add a new category**:

“e) If the request involves bringing a visiting scholar/collaborator to the University of Cincinnati, a short CV of the visitor (in English) must be included.”

These proposed changes had their the first reading before the Board on April 1st, and were **approved** on May 6th.

(5) Under Guidelines for Special Requests, insert a **new bullet** under The following restrictions apply:

•“Expenses involved in bringing visiting scholars to the University of Cincinnati include airfare plus up to \$1,000 per week in expenses.”

This proposed change had its first reading before the Board on May 6th.

D. Major Awards Committee (11% of the Fund)

The Major Awards Committee consisted of Roger Daniels (History), Barry Isaac (Anthropology), Wolfgang Mayer (Economics), Richard Schade (German), John Tytus (representing the Trustees) and was chaired by Chris McCord (Mathematics). As there were no changes in the Taft Professorships this year, the committee’s duties for the year focused on the Departmental Enhancement Awards.

The committee received three applications for the Departmental Enhancement Awards:

- *The Cincinnati Review*, submitted by English.
- *Henry R. Winkler Professorship in Modern European History*, submitted by History.
- *Institute for the Study of Politics and Technology*, submitted by Political Science.

The committee recommended a six-year, \$150,000 award be made to *The Cincinnati Review*. This recommendation was approved by the Faculty Executive Board. The *Cincinnati Review* is a new journal that the Department of English is establishing, which will include creative writing and literary criticism. The Departmental Enhancement Award will fund the first six years of the journal’s operations (one graduate editorial assistant and publication costs for two issues a year, totaling \$25,000 a year). A matching award from the Schiff Foundation will establish an endowment to support future publication costs. This endowment, together with subscriptions, will fund the continued operations of the journal. The proposal was selected for funding because of its strong fit with the stated purposes of the Departmental Enhancement Awards:

- The journal will have a substantial impact on the department, serving as the capstone of the department’s ongoing efforts to reorganize itself around a modern literature focal point.
- The journal will be a permanent part of the department, allowing the Taft Fund to catalyze a lasting improvement in the department.
- The matching award from the Schiff Foundation represents the kind of leveraging of outside

resources that the Departmental Enhancement Awards seeks to encourage.

In addition to these congruences with the Departmental Awards criteria, the proposal has some other benefits. First, it has the possibility of being a "high-profile" activity, providing visibility for the department, the college and the university. Second, it coordinates well with the other featured activities of the English department, such as the Elliston and Ropes Lecture series.

The two ongoing Departmental Enhancement Awards are the Statistical Consulting Center in the Department of Mathematical Sciences, and the European Studies Program in the departments of History, Romance Languages and German.

The Statistical Consulting Center award allowed the Department of Mathematical Sciences to establish a center in which faculty and graduate students in statistics can offer consulting services to the University community and to external customers. In its first year of operation, the Center involved two faculty, a graduate research assistant and 19 graduate students who worked as consultants in the lab for graduate credit. They served 44 clients from within the University (roughly half faculty, half graduate students) as well as one external client. The University clients came from Arts & Sciences (15), Engineering (15), Education (6), CBA (4), Medicine (2), CCM (1) and Clermont College (1). These projects led to six co-authored papers with the SCL faculty, and three of the projects produced a total of \$3,900 in compensation for the Center. This represents a very strong start for the Center, and suggests that its goal of becoming self-supporting within three years is quite realistic. Moreover, the Center has proved to be an important educational experience for the students involved in it. All have responded positively to their experience, and several have indicated that it played an important role in their successful job searches.

The Europe Old and New project is dedicated to providing a common element of European Studies in the graduate programs of the Departments of Germanic Languages & Literature, History and Romance Languages & Literature. After spending the 2000-2001 year in planning, the *Europe Old and New* project began its programmatic activities this year. The theme for the 2001-02 academic year was Nation Building and Cosmopolitanism. The year's activities included four Taft speakers, two graduate workshop course, a graduate seminar and a graduate student conference. One aspect of the year's plans, a graduate student trip to Europe during Spring Break, did not occur, for a variety of organizational reasons. Having learned some lessons from this first unsuccessful attempt, planning is now underway for a more carefully constructed student trip for the 2002-03 academic year. In addition to the year's programmatic activities, working groups in Curriculum, Grants and Publicity met throughout the year. The Curriculum group developed a proposal for a Freshman Seminar and a European Studies graduate certificate; the Grants group developed and submitted a proposal to the National Endowment for the Humanities; the Publicity group worked to disseminate information about all of the activities.

The Major Awards Committee has identified only one issue with the structure of the Departmental Enhancement Awards that needs to be addressed. The expectations for the annual reports on the awards remain unclear. The detail and quality of the reports has been somewhat

uneven, and one contributing factor in this unevenness has been uncertainty as to exactly what is expected in the reports. The committee intends to address this issue during the coming year, and draw up clearer guidelines for the annual reports.

V. The Chair's Contingency Fund and a Taft Center

The Chair's Contingency Fund serves two roles. First, it is the Taft Fund's equivalent of Departmental Operating Expenses, used for covering office expenses, entertainment costs and other incidental expenses associated with the normal operations of the Taft Fund. Second, it is a discretionary fund for the Chair, providing a flexible source of funding for activities which fall within the Taft Fund's mandate, but which are not covered by any of the formally established committees and programs. Funds also come into the Contingency Fund from two sources. A small amount of money is budgeted each year for the Contingency Fund, to cover operating costs and incidental expenses. The more significant source of funds is the unexpended committee funds. Typically, at the end of each year, over expenditures in one category are covered by under expenditures in others, and any residue reverts to the Chair's Contingency Fund.

The previous Chair, Barbara Rumusack, carefully nurtured the Chair's Contingency Fund. Added to this, the many changes of the last few years led to significant underutilizations of the Taft Fund. This is a fairly natural phenomenon, experienced whenever a new program is introduced, since there is a lag in the faculty and students' awareness of the new opportunities. As noted in last year's report, the large scale of revisions in the last few years produced a correspondingly large accumulation of funds returned to the Chair's Contingency Fund by the committees. The Contingency Fund has now grown to nearly \$200,000. This has raised two issues: for the long term, ensuring that the Taft Fund's programs are fully utilized; for the short term, determining how best to use the \$200,000 that has accumulated.

This year's patterns of activities suggest that the utilization of the Fund is returning to desired levels. New programs such as Research Support and expanded Publications program were fully utilized this year, as were most of continuing programs. Only the Domestic Travel, Graduate Travel and Graduate Enrichment awards remain underutilized. This suggests that the efforts to advertise the new Taft funding opportunities have been effective, that the faculty and students are taking advantage of the new opportunities provided by the Taft Fund, and that the Fund has successfully aligned itself with the needs of the Taft departments, faculty and students.

The question of the best usage of the \$200,000 in the Chair's Contingency Fund has been discussed by the Board, the University administration and interested Taft faculty. There was general consensus that the money should be used for something substantial, not just to increase expenditures in the existing categories. The one idea that has drawn broad interest for such "substantial" use is the creation of a Taft Center. An *ad hoc* committee was formed to develop ideas and explore the feasibility of a Taft Center. The committee's goal was to collect information and develop plans through the spring and summer. Unfortunately, the press of other activities such as the collegiate restructuring has slowed this process significantly. The committee has identified the broad outlines of model for a Center, but has not articulated the

details sufficiently to justify a presentation here of their thoughts.

VI. Conclusion

This year's activities suggest that the revisions of the last few years are being successfully consolidated. With the exception of a few programs, usage of the Taft Fund programs is strong, especially the new programs. We need to continue to advertise the Taft Fund programs to faculty and students, and we need to continue to refine the criteria and procedures. But for the most part, these are routine "maintenance" issues for the Taft Faculty Board and its committees. Beyond this maintenance, the two larger issues that need to be addressed are the Faculty Research Fellowships, and the use of the Chair's Contingency Fund. We need to increase the competitiveness of the Research Fellowships, and we need to determine the most appropriate use of the accumulated surplus in the Chair's Contingency Fund.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher McCord, Chair
Taft Faculty Executive Board

TAFT MEMORIAL FUND BUDGET FOR 2001-2002
(Budget for 2000-2001 for informational purposes)

Award Category		2000-2001 Budgeted	2001-2002 Budgeted	2001-2002 Spent
Conferences & Lectures	7% for 2001-2002			
Departmental Allocation		\$30,000	\$30,000	\$27,200
Competitive Lectures		\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Video Taping		\$1,000	0	0
Conferences		\$20,000	\$20,000	20,000
Total		\$71,000	\$70,000	\$67,200
Faculty Awards	20% for 2001-2002			
Research Grants		\$65,400	\$66,000	\$66,000
Research Supplements		\$10,500	\$10,500	\$14,720
Competitive Faculty Fellowships		\$40,000	\$40,000	\$40,000
CFF Supplements		\$10,000	\$10,000	\$8,000
Conference Travel & Research Travel		\$50,000	\$50,000	\$75,887
Fringe Benefits for Research Grants (Student Rate 5.5%)		\$3,597	\$3,630	\$3,630
Domestic Travel		\$17,000	\$17,000	\$12,001
Total		\$196,497	\$197,130	\$220,238
Major Awards	11% for 2001-2002			
Departmental Enhancement Award		\$50,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
Taft Professors		\$6,250	\$7,500	\$7,500
Total		\$56,250	\$107,500	\$107,500
Postdoctoral Fellowships	4% for 2001-2002			
Postdoctoral Fellows		\$60,000	\$30,000	\$15,810
Family health insurance:				
single \$1,868/ indv. + 1 \$3,736		\$7,472	\$3,736	\$2,411
Moving Expenses		\$1,000	\$500	\$500
Research Expenses		\$2,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Teaching Stipend		\$6,000	\$3,000	\$3,000
Fringe Benefits (Worker's Comp. & Retirement)		\$3,600	\$1,800	\$1,162
Total		77,000	80,072	79,639.07
Publications	1% for 2001-2002	\$8,500	\$8,500	\$8,335
Research Support	20% for 2001-2002			
Departmental Allocation		\$75,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
Special Requests		\$75,000	\$100,000	\$115,878
Cost Sharing		\$50,000	\$50,000	\$40,000
Total		\$200,000	\$200,000	\$205,878
Award Category		1999-2000 Budgeted	2000-2001 Budgeted	2000-2001 Spent

Student Awards	36% for 2001-2002		
Advanced Departmental Fellowships	\$108,000	\$96,000	\$84,000
Summer Support	\$27,000	\$24,0000	\$27,000
Advanced Competitive Fellowships	\$72,000	\$72,000	\$60,000
Beginning Competitive Fellowships	\$84,000	0	0
Graduate Enhancement Awards	0	\$120,000	\$120,025
Graduate Student Travel	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$13,059
Graduate Enrichment	\$20,000	\$20,000.00	\$9,931
Undergraduate Enrichment	\$16,000	\$16,000	\$20,000
Total	\$347,000	\$368,000	\$334,615
Miscellaneous	2% for 2001-2002		
Staff Compensation	\$9,152	\$9,152	\$13,290
Fringe Benefits for Staff (36%)	\$3,295	\$3,295	\$4,936
Chair's Contingency*	\$13,000	\$6,387	\$15,455
Total	\$25,447	\$18,834	\$33,681
Grand Totals	\$984,766	\$1,010,000	\$1,001,330

* Includes: postage, publicity for speakers, fellowships, and postdoctoral fellowships, publications, entertainment
for speakers, photocopying, and additional commitments for cost sharing, summer stipend for mathematics
graduate student, funds for new department heads, honoraria for history speaker, and Campaign Finance Reform Debate for the department of political science.

GRADUATE FELLOWSHIPS
2002-2003

English & Comparative Literature

Kristen Czarnecki	Advanced Competitive
Gary Leising	Advanced Competitive
Cynthia Ris	Advanced Departmental Competitive

Germanic Languages & Literatures

Tonya Hampton	Advanced Competitive
Susanne Lenne	Advanced Competitive
David Stroeh	Advanced Departmental Competitive

History

Kevin Bower	Advanced Departmental Competitive
-------------	-----------------------------------

Mathematical Sciences

Jiyeon Oh	Advanced Departmental Competitive
-----------	-----------------------------------

Philosophy

Michael Cundall	Advanced Competitive
Michael Sontag	Advanced Departmental Competitive

Political Science

Mark Roeder	Advanced Competitive
Chunhou Zhang	Advanced Departmental Competitive

Romance Languages & Literatures

Jeanne-Sarah de Larquier	Advanced Departmental Competitive
--------------------------	-----------------------------------

Sociology

Sarah Byrne	Advanced Departmental Competitive
-------------	-----------------------------------

GRADUATE TRAVEL AWARDS
2001-2002

Anthropology

Danica Farnand	Colorado	\$500.00
Kirk French	Colorado	\$500.00

Economics

Thushuan Wijesinghe	Chicago	\$367.00
---------------------	---------	----------

English & Comparative Literature

Will Clemens	Kentucky	\$247.75
Kristen Czarnecki	Washington	\$376.50
Rebecca Meacham	Washington	\$200.00
Robert Murdock	Louisiana	\$500.00
Sarah Perrier	Louisiana	\$500.00
Cynthia Nitz Ris	Louisiana	\$488.43

Germanic Languages & Literatures

Susanne Lenne	Canada	\$357.41
David Prickett	Louisiana	\$500.00
David Prickett	Netherlands	\$500.00
David Wachter	Wisconsin	\$222.00

History

Kirsten Allen-Hanks	New York	\$347.00
James MacGregor	Missouri	\$414.09
Natasha Margulis	Massachusetts	\$300.00
James Rhyne	Canada	\$383.00
Steven Rockenbach	Canada	\$383.00

Mathematical Sciences

Robin Endelmann	California	\$290.00
-----------------	------------	----------

Philosophy

Fred Harrington	Tennessee	\$500.00
-----------------	-----------	----------

Political Science

James Masterson	Illinois	\$377.00
Chunhon Zhang	Hawaii	\$500.00

Romance Languages & Literatures

Jeanne-Sarah de Larquier	California	\$500.00
Orietta Madrigel	California	\$500.00
Gracia Roldan	California	\$500.00
Christina Sanchez	California	\$500.00
Tomas Vivancos	California	\$500.00

Sociology

Kevin Beaver	Canada	\$300.17
Sarah Byrne	Canada	\$380.17
Tom Buchanan	California	\$500.00
Jennifer Gossett	Georgia	\$216.43
Mary Hamilton	Illinois	\$408.78

**GRADUATE ENRICHMENT AWARDS
2001-2002**

Economics

Ramata Santoga	Canada	\$ 900.00
----------------	--------	-----------

History

Dea Boster	Maryland	\$ 581.54
James Rhyne	Washington	\$1,880.00
Rali Roy	Chicago	\$ 694.00
Krista Siegler	Indiana	\$2,500.00

Political Science

Chunhou Zhang	China	\$2,500.00
---------------	-------	------------

Sociology

Sarah Byrne	Columbus	\$ 875.00
-------------	----------	-----------

**GRADUATE ENHANCEMENT AWARDS
2001-2002**

Anthropology

Sarah Fair	\$1,135.00
Danica Farnard	\$ 665.00
Laura Ferries	\$1,135.00
Kirk French	\$ 665.00
Jennifer Gerwe	\$1,860.00
Blair Henley	\$1,860.00
Ariens Hill	\$1860.00
Patrick Uphus	\$ 460.00
John Vanderplough	\$ 460.00

Economics

Sanjaya Bandaranayake	\$3,216.06
Katie Valencia	\$3,216.06
Katherine Gresham	\$3,216.06
Richard Bernius	\$3,216.06

English & Comparative Literature

David Bell	\$2,000.00
Susan Carpenter	\$2,000.00
Molly McCaffrey	\$2,000.00
Collette Shaffer	\$6,000.00
Drew Shannon	\$2,000.00
Claudia Skutar	\$2,000.00

Germanic Languages & Literatures

Susanne Buckesfeld	\$ 500.00
Susan Cook	\$ 554.00
Susanne Lenne	\$ 500.00
Judith Hammer	\$ 554.00
Catharina Paulsson	\$ 553.95
Susanne Johnansson	\$ 554.00
Renate Schieffer	\$ 554.00
Gonchigan Sukhbaatar	\$ 553.00
David Wachter	\$ 553.00

History

Pompilia Burcia	\$10,500.30
Watson Burns	\$ 124.25
Aaron Cowan	\$ 222.60
Daniel Glenn	\$ 222.60
Krista Siegler	\$ 3,279.20

Mathematical Sciences

Laura Bristol	\$1,750.00
Xiang Guo	\$1,750.00

Guenbo Hwang	\$1,750.00
Min Lin	\$1,750.00
Yishi Meng	\$1,750.00
Nongkok Ndefru	\$1,750.00
Robert Price	\$1,750.00
Eric Ritchie	\$1,750.00
Fei Wan	\$1,750.00

Philosophy

Anthony Landreth	\$1,000.00
Viorel Paslaru	\$1,175.00
Michael Sontag	\$1,000.00

Political Science

Lisa Kissopolus	\$1,000.00
Matthew Goebel	\$1,000.00
Jonathan Levy	\$2,200.00
Tuba Muftuoglu	\$2,200.00

Sociology

Kevin Beaver	\$1,000.00
Dean Beeler	\$1,000.00
Sarah Bryne	\$2,300.00
Ryan Cragun	\$1,000.00

**UNDERGRADUATE ENRICHMENT AWARDS
2001-2002**

Anthropology

Kandace Pensire	Arizona	\$2,000.00
-----------------	---------	------------

English & Comparative Literature

Alyson Doulos	Paris	\$2,000.00
Erica Miller	Brazil	\$ 477.00

Germanic Languages & Literatures

Joshua Arnold	Germany	\$2,000.00
Kenneth Berberich	Germany	\$2,000.00
Andrew Brahm	Germany	\$2,000.00

Mathematical Sciences

Mike Chance	California	\$ 650.00
-------------	------------	-----------

Philosophy

Jason O'Neal	Vermont	\$2,000.00
--------------	---------	------------

Political Science

Camille Gill	Mexico	\$1,250.00
James Haasan	Mexico	\$1,500.00
Christina Mihalek	Maryland	\$ 472.85
Miriam Spitz	Mexico	\$1,250.00

Romance Languages & Literatures

Mary Bidwell	Spain	\$2,000.00	
Cassandra Kontos	Mexico	\$1,500.00	
Margaret Lawson	Spain	\$2,000.00	
Melissa Lionberger		Mexico	\$1,500.00
Jamie Smith		Spain	\$2,000.00
Erin Weaver		Spain	\$2,000.00

**FACULTY AWARDS
2001-2002**

HUMANITIES

ENGLISH & COMPARATIVE LITERATURE (36 FACULTY) 13 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$32,125.00

Academic Meeting Travel Grant

Jill Rubenstein	Requested	\$2,550.00	Awarded	\$1,890.00
-----------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

Domestic Travel Grant

Stanley Corkin	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 500.00
Russel Durst	Requested	\$ 189.00	Awarded	\$ 189.00
Amy Elder	Requested	\$ 341.00	Awarded	\$ 341.00
Jonathan Kamholtz	Requested	\$ 236.00	Awarded	\$ 236.00
Leland Person	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 500.00
Lucille Schultz	Requested	\$ 189.00	Awarded	\$ 189.00

Competitive Faculty Fellowship

Don Bogen			Awarded	\$8,000.00
-----------	--	--	---------	------------

Research Fellowship

Brock Clark			Awarded	\$5,500.00
Holly Crocker			Awarded	\$5,500.00
Tamar Heller			Awarded	\$5,500.00

Research Fellowship Supplement

Brock Clark	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00
Holly Crocker	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00
Tamar Heller	Requested	\$ 780.00	Awarded	\$ 780.00

GERMANIC LANGUAGES & LITERATURES (10 FACULTY) 7 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$14,015

Domestic Travel Grant

Sara Friedrichsmeyer	Requested	\$ 315.00	Awarded	\$ 315.00
Katharina Gerstenberger	Requested	\$ 320.00	Awarded	\$ 320.00
Klaus Mladek	Requested	\$ 320.00	Awarded	\$ 320.00

Travel for Research Grant

Katharina Gerstenberger	Requested	\$2,560.00	Awarded	\$2,560.00
Sara Friedrichsmeyer	Requested	\$3,500.00	Awarded	\$3,500.00

Research Fellowship

Todd Herzog			Awarded	\$5,500.00
-------------	--	--	---------	------------

Research Fellowship Supplement

Todd Herzog	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00
-------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

HISTORY (24 FACULTY) 21 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$42,539.41

Academic Meeting Travel Grant

John Brackett	Requested	\$1,260.00	Awarded	\$1,260.00
Christopher Phillips	Requested	\$1,479.05	Awarded	\$1,479.05
Hilda Smith	Requested	\$2,400.00	Awarded	\$2,400.00
Ann Twinam	Requested	\$1,885.20	Awarded	\$1,885.20

Domestic Travel Grant

Edward Dickinson	Requested	\$ 238.00	Awarded	\$ 228.00
Wayne Durrill	Requested	\$ 278.54	Awarded	\$ 278.54
Wendy Kline	Requested	\$ 300.00	Awarded	\$ 300.00
ManBun Kwan	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 430.62
Hilda Smith	Requested	\$ 425.00	Awarded	\$ 425.00
John Soares	Requested	\$ 304.50	Awarded	\$ 304.50
David Stradling	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 389.50
Willard Sunderland	Requested	\$ 236.00	Awarded	\$ 236.00
Ann Twinam	Requested	\$ 283.00	Awarded	\$ 283.00

Travel Grant for Research

Thomas Sakmyster	Requested	\$3,200.00	Awarded	\$3,200.00
------------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

Competitive Faculty Fellowship

Hilda Smith			Awarded	\$8,000.00
-------------	--	--	---------	------------

Competitive Faculty Fellowship Supplement

Hilda Smith			Awarded	\$2,000.00
-------------	--	--	---------	------------

Research Fellowship

Man Bun Kwan			Awarded	\$5,500.00
Mona Siegel			Awarded	\$5,500.00
Willard Sunderland			Awarded	\$5,500.00

Research Fellowship Supplement

Man Bun Kwan	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00
Willard Sunderland	Requested	\$1,440.00	Awarded	\$1,440.00

PHILOSOPHY (11 FACULTY) 3 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$7,799.58**Academic Meeting Travel Grant**

Christopher Gauker	Requested	\$ 799.58	Awarded	\$ 799.58
--------------------	-----------	-----------	---------	-----------

Research Fellowship

Thomas Polger			Awarded	\$5,500.00
---------------	--	--	---------	------------

Research Fellowship Supplement

Thomas Polger	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00
---------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

ROMANCE LANGUAGES & LITERATURES (21 FACULTY) 17 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$19,509.33**Academic Meeting Travel Grant**

Heather Arden	Requested	\$ 950.00	Awarded	\$ 950.00
Susan Bacon	Requested	\$1,635.00	Awarded	\$1,635.00
Maria Moreno	Requested	\$1,221.00	Awarded	\$1,221.00
Maria Moreno	Requested	\$ 650.00	Awarded	\$ 650.00
Kirsten Nigro	Requested	\$1,750.00	Awarded	\$1,750.00
Armando Romero	Requested	\$ 708.00	Awarded	\$ 708.00
Michele Vialt	Requested	\$1,077.00	Awarded	\$1,077.00

Domestic Travel Grant

Susan Bacon	Requested	\$ 252.00	Awarded	\$ 252.00
James Hamilton	Requested	\$ 246.75	Awarded	\$ 246.75
Kathryn Lorenz	Requested	\$ 300.00	Awarded	\$ 300.00
Jeff Loveland	Requested	\$ 408.00	Awarded	\$ 408.00
Therese Migraine-George	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 108.00
Armando Romero	Requested	\$ 253.00	Awarded	\$ 253.00
Michele Vialt	Requested	\$ 446.50	Awarded	\$ 378.50

Travel Grant for Research

Kirsten Nigro	Requested	3,500.00	Awarded	\$3,500.00
---------------	-----------	----------	---------	------------

Research Fellowship

TxeTsu Aguado			Awarded	\$8,000.00
---------------	--	--	---------	------------

Research Fellowship Supplement

TxeTsu Aguado	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 500.00
---------------	-----------	-----------	---------	-----------

SOCIAL SCIENCES**ANTHROPOLOGY (9 FACULTY) – 3 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$5,307.70****Academic Meeting Travel Grant**

Lynne Schepartz	Requested	\$1,667.50	Awarded	\$1,667.50
-----------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

Domestic Travel Grant

Alan Sullivan	Requested	\$ 340.20	Awarded	\$ 340.20
---------------	-----------	-----------	---------	-----------

Travel for Research Grant

Alan Sullivan	Requested	\$3,300.00	Awarded	\$3,300.00
---------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

ECONOMICS (10 FACULTY) – 7 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$15,435.06**Academic Meeting Travel**

Wolfgang Mayer	Requested	\$1,800.00	Awarded	\$1,800.00
Robert Rebelein	Requested	\$ 960.00	Awarded	\$ 960.00
Sourushe Zandvakili	Requested	\$1,360.00	Awarded	\$1,360.00

Domestic Travel Grant

Jeffrey Mills	Requested	\$ 317.56	Awarded	\$ 317.56
---------------	-----------	-----------	---------	-----------

Travel Grant for Research

Sourushe Zandvakili	Requested	\$ 997.50	Awarded	\$ 997.50
---------------------	-----------	-----------	---------	-----------

Competitive Faculty Fellowship

Sourushe Zandvakili			Awarded	\$8,000
---------------------	--	--	---------	---------

Competitive Faculty Fellowship Supplement

Sourushe Zandvakili			Awarded	\$2,000
---------------------	--	--	---------	---------

POLITICAL SCIENCE (12 FACULTY) 5 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$9,979.00**Academic Meeting Travel Grant**

David Resnick	Requested	\$2,003.00	Awarded	\$2,003.00
---------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

Domestic Travel Grant

George Bishop	Requested	\$ 200.00	Awarded	\$ 200.00
Laura Dudley Jenkins	Requested	\$ 276.00	Awarded	\$ 276.00
Brian Lawson	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 500.00

Research Fellowship

Laura Dudley Jenkins			Awarded	\$5,500.00
----------------------	--	--	---------	------------

Research Fellowship Supplement

Laura Dudley Jenkins	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00
----------------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

SOCIOLOGY (12 FACULTY) 10 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$16,807.80**Academic Meeting Travel Grant**

Paula Dubeck	Requested	\$ 430.00	Awarded	\$ 437.72
Sara Beth Estes	Requested	\$ 765.00	Awarded	\$ 765.00
Annula Linders	Requested	\$ 547.50	Awarded	\$ 547.50
David Lundgren	Requested	\$ 576.26	Awarded	\$ 576.26

Domestic Travel Grant

Annula Linders	Requested	\$ 265.97	Awarded	\$ 265.97
Jennifer Malat	Requested	\$ 299.35	Awarded	\$ 215.35

Research Fellowship

Jennifer Malat			Awarded	\$5,500.00
Stephen Mockabee			Awarded	\$5,500.00

Research Fellowship Supplement

Jennifer Malat	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00
Stephen Mockabee	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00

SCIENCES**MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES (38 FACULTY) 19 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$38,090.10****Academic Meeting Travel Grant**

Jim Deddens	Requested	\$1,886.00	Awarded	\$1,886.00
Charles Groetsch	Requested	\$1,769.00	Awarded	\$1,769.00
Tim Hodges	Requested	\$1,093.00	Awarded	\$1,093.00
Anthony Leung	Requested	\$2,083.00	Awarded	\$2,083.00
Christopher McCord	Requested	\$1,650.00	Awarded	\$1,650.00
Diego Murio	Requested	\$2,000.00	Awarded	\$2,000.00
James Osterburg	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 500.00
Gary Weiss	Requested	\$2,500.00	Awarded	\$2,500.00

Domestic Travel Grant

David Herron	Requested	\$ 248.40	Awarded	\$ 248.40
Siva Sivagnesan	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 500.00
Gary Weiss	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 500.00
Bingyu Zhang	Requested	\$ 500.00	Awarded	\$ 489.00
Ruhan Zhao	Requested	\$ 345.00	Awarded	\$ 345.00

Travel Grant for Research

Victor Kaftal	Requested	\$2,030.00	Awarded	\$2,030.00
Philip Korman	Requested	\$3,500.00	Awarded	\$3,500.00
Magda Peligrad	Requested	\$3,500.00	Awarded	\$3,500.00
Srdjan Stojanovic	Requested	\$3,496.70	Awarded	\$3,496.70

Competitive Faculty Fellowship

Magda Peligrad	Awarded	\$8,000.00
----------------	---------	------------

Competitive Faculty Fellowship Supplement

Magda Peligrad	Awarded	\$2,000.00
----------------	---------	------------

AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDIES (4 FACULTY) 1 GRANT AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$1,500**Travel for Research Grant**

Marla Frederick	Requested	\$1,500.00	Awarded	\$1,500.00
-----------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

JUDAIC STUDIES (4 FACULTY) 2 GRANTS AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$10,000**Competitive Faculty Fellowship**

Steven Bowman	Awarded	\$8,000.00
---------------	---------	------------

Competitive Faculty Fellowship Supplement

Steven Bowman	Awarded	\$2,000.00
---------------	---------	------------

WOMEN'S STUDIES (3 FACULTY) 1 GRANT AWARDED FOR A TOTAL OF \$3,500**Travel for Research Grant**

Catherine Raissiguier	Requested	\$3,500.00	Awarded	\$3,500.00
-----------------------	-----------	------------	---------	------------

**RESEARCH SUPPORT
SPECIAL REQUESTS OVER \$1,000
2001-2002**

Mathematical Sciences

Victor Kaftal	Requested	\$ 2,230	Awarded	\$ 2,230
Philip Korman	Requested	\$ 3,400	Awarded	\$ 3,400
Ken Meyer	Requested	\$21,000	Awarded	\$11,000

Philosophy

John Martin	Requested	\$ 1,552	Awarded	\$ 1,552
-------------	-----------	----------	---------	----------

Sociology

Annula Linders	Requested	\$ 2,300	Awarded	\$ 2,300
----------------	-----------	----------	---------	----------

**RSEARCH SUPPORT
LIBRARY PURCHASES
2001-2002**

Germanic Languages & Literatures

Jerry Glenn *Bibliotheek der Frauenfrage in Deutschland* \$20,700

History

John Hamilton *Early American Imprints Series I Evans (1639-1800)* \$52,500

Geoffrey Plank *The Papers of William Augustus, Duke of Cumberland from the Royal Archives in Windsor Castle* \$ 8,858

Willard Sunderland *Travels, Explorations & Empires 1770-1835 Parts A&B* \$ 1,140

Willard Sunderland *Colonial Discourses Series I, Sets 1&2* \$ 6,400

**RESEARCH SUPPORT
COST SHARING AWARD
2001-2002**

History

Wayne Durrill	Requested	\$ 5,000	Awarded	\$ 5,000
Linda Przybyszewski	Requested	\$10,000	Awarded	\$10,000

Mathematical Sciences

Donald French	Requested	\$10,000	Awarded	\$10,000
---------------	-----------	----------	---------	----------

Philosophy

Robert Skipper	Requested	\$ 5,000	Awarded	\$ 5,000
----------------	-----------	----------	---------	----------

Sociology

Sara Beth Estes	Requested	\$ 5,000	Awarded	\$ 5,000
Annula Linders	Requested	\$ 5,000	Awarded	\$ 5,000

LECTURES
2001-2002

Anthropology

Clark Larsen, Ohio State University (May 1, 2002).....\$1,500
The Bio-archaeology of Conquest and Colonization: The Spanish Florida Frontier

Joyce Marcus, University of Michigan (October 18, 2001).....\$1,500
Mesoamerican States: The Dynamics of Formation and Collapse

Economics

Deidre McCloskey, University of Illinois (November 15, 2001).....\$1,000
Bourgeois Virtue

English & Comparative Literature

Bharati Mukherjee, University of California, Berkeley (February 19, 2002).....\$3,000
Apple Pie Redefined: Race, Culture, and American Values in the Age of Terror

Germanic Languages & Literatures

Leslie Adelson, Cornell University, (January 18, 2002).....\$1,500
Back to the Future and Beyond: 'Two Worlds': Turkish Lines of Thought in Contemporary German Literature and Memory Work

David Wellbery, University of Chicago, (May 13, 2002).....\$1,500
Nietzsche's Theory of the Tragic Imaginary

History

William Cronon, University of Wisconsin, (April 18th & 19th, 2002).....\$3,000
Nature's Metropolis and Humanist Environmentalism

Mathematical Sciences

Robert Osserman, Stanford University, (May 5th & 6th, 2002).....\$3,000
The Shape of the Universse and The Schwarz-Pick-Ahlfors Lemma

Philosophy

Noel Carroll, University of Wisconsin at Madison, (February 15, 2002).....\$1,150
Aesthetic Experience Revisited

James Lennox, University of Pittsburgh, (February 8, 2002).....\$1,050
The Place of Biology in Aristotle's Natural Philosophy

Political Science

Stephen Krasner, Stanford University, (November 1, 2002).....\$2,000
Sovereignty and Globalization

John Mueller, Ohio State University, (May 30, 2002).....\$1,000
Terrorism and War in the New Century

Romance Languages & Literatures

Vincenc Beltran, Universidad de Barcelona, (May 10, 2002).....\$1,500
Antropología medieval y creación literaria

Jean Rouaud, Paris, France, (May 9, 2002).....\$1,500
Le roman français contemporain

Sociology

Francis Fox Piven, Graduate Center, CUNY, (January 28, 2002).....\$3,000
The Rich, the Poor, and American Politics

COMPETITIVE LECTURES
2001-2002

English & Comparative Literature

Jonathan Arac, Columbia University, (February 26, 2003).....\$2,465
Mark Twain and Harriet Beecher Stowe: Literary History and the Legacy of Slavery

David Grimsted, University of Maryland, (January 31, 2002).....\$ 500
Riots by Those Who Worked Against Those Who Took: Anti-rent Riots, 1839-1850

Noel Ignatiev, Massachusetts College of Art, (January 23, 2002).....\$3,000
Abolish the White Race

Joseph Mannard, Indiana University of Pennsylvania, (April 5, 2002).....\$ 500
Riots by Those Who Worked Against Those Who Took: Anti-rent Riots, 1839-1850

Germanic Languages & Literatures

Anna Rosmus, U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum, Washington, DC, (April 12, 2002).....\$3,000
Nasty Girl

History

Eliga Gould, University of New Hampshire, (October 24, 2002).....\$1,500
Anglo-American Conceptions of the Changing 'Legal Geography of the Atlantic World, 1750-1825

Mathematical Sciences

Klaus Weihrauch, Fern Universität Hagen, (August 28-30, 2003).....\$2,000
(1) *Are Union and Intersection of Closed Subsets of the Real Plan Computable?* (2) *Is Zero Finding for Complex Polynomials Computable?* (3) *Is the Mandelbrot Set Decidable?*

Philosophy

Paul Griffiths, University of Pittsburgh, (May 15-18, 2002).....\$2,500
(1) *Evo Devo Meets the Mind: Towards a Developmental Evolutionary Psychology* (2) *Basic Emotions, Complex Emotions, and Machiavellian Emotions* (3) *The Fearless Vampire Conservator: How and How Not to Resist*

APPENDIX F

Genetic Determinism

Jegwon Kim, Brown University, (Spring 2003).....\$3,465
Mind in a Physical World

Sociology

Jennifer Glass, University of Iowa, (Fall 2002).....\$2,000
Family Policies in Workplaces in U.S. Companies

**CONFERENCES
2001-2002**

Mathematical Sciences

International Conference on Computability and Complexity in Analysis \$6,392.50
Organizers: Kenneth Meyer, Dieter Schmidt, Bingyu Zhang, Ning Zhong
August 28-30, 2003 at UC

Philosophy

Perspectives of the Animal Mind \$5,000.00
Organizer: Robert Skipper
April 11-13, 2003 at UC

Romance Languages & Literatures

22nd Conference on Romance Languages & Literatures \$8,607.50
Organizers: Aaron Krynicki, Jérôme Onillon
May 9 – 11, 2002 at the Vernon Manor Hotel Conference Center

**PUBLICATIONS
2001-2002**

Anthropology

Vernon Scarborough Awarded \$1,000 Indexing
Hierarchy, Political Economy and the Ancient Maya: The Three Rivers Region of the East-Central Yucatan Peninsula

Vernon Scarborough Awarded \$4,000 Subvention
Hierarchy, Political Economy and the Ancient Maya: The Three Rivers Region of the East Central Yucatan Peninsula

Economics

Sourushe Zandvakili & Nicholas Williams Awarded \$325 Page Fees
Economic Impacts of Sales Taxes on Temporary Employment Services

History

James Murray Awarded \$1,000 Photo & Map Production
Bruges, Cradle of Capitalism 1280-1390

Philosophy

John Bickle \$1000 Illustrations.
Philosophy and Neuroscience: A Ruthlessly Reductive Account

Political Science

Laura Dudley Jenkins Awarded \$1,000 Indexing
Identity and Identification in India: Defining the Disadvantaged